



Maria Perrotta Berlin, SITE September 2024

Russia in Africa: What the Literature Reveals and Why It Matters

Following the full-scale invasion of Ukraine in February, 2022, Russia has become increasingly isolated. In an attempt to counter Western powers' efforts to suppress its economy and soft power impacts, Russia has tried to increase its influence in other parts of the world. In particular, Russia is increasingly active on the African continent, having become a key partner to several African regimes, typically operating in areas with weak institutions and governments. Additionally, Russia's approach has a different focus and objectives compared to other foreign actors, which may have both short and long term consequences for the continent's development. Deepening our understanding of Russia's distinct approach alongside those of other global actors, as well as the future implications of their involvement on the continent is, thus, of crucial importance.

Introduction

The new Foreign Policy Concept, adopted by the Russian government in March 2023, dedicates, for the first time, a separate section to Africa. The previous versions of the policy grouped North Africa with the Middle East and contained only a single paragraph, kept unchanged over time, about Sub-Saharan Africa. In the midst of its war against Ukraine, Russia is getting serious about Africa. What do we know about the reasons for and implications of this trend?

A relatively large literature in economics, political science, international relations, and other related fields has dealt with the Soviet Union's engagement with African regimes (see overviews in Morris, 1973 and Ramani, 2023). However, the number of studies following the evolution of these relations since the collapse of the Soviet Union is significantly smaller, reflecting Russia's strategic withdrawal from the region between 1990 and 2015. Following the full-scale invasion of Ukraine, Russia's increased interest in and engagement on the African continent has been increasingly discussed by security analysts and think tanks (see for instance Siegel, 2021; Stanyard, Vircoulon and Rademeyer, 2023; Jones, et al., 2021). Primarily highlighted are Russia's interest in mineral deposits, its large-scale arms' exports to African regimes, its dominance on the nuclear energy market with resulting dependency on Russian nuclear fuels, and its ambition to undermine Western capacities by the spread of Russian propaganda and anti-Western sentiments (Lindén, 2023). Each of these dimensions carries potentially profound and far-reaching implications for the continent's development, as underscored by various strands of literature. Research contributions on this specific new trend are however still very limited and predominantly of qualitative and exploratory nature.

There is, however, substantial general knowledge about the various forms that foreign interests can take, including trade, investment, development aid, propaganda, election interference, and involvement in conflicts, and their potential consequences for development. This brief presents an overview of selected literature that most closely relates to foreign influence in Africa.

Background: theories of foreign policy

Two contrasting approaches are used to describe the way countries engage with the international community. The first one is the so-called realist perspective, which emphasizes the role of power, national interests, and security in shaping foreign policy (Mearsheimer, 1995). In this model, countries act in their self-interest, and often in competition or even conflict with other countries. Strategic alliances and a willingness to use force to advance one's interests are contemplated under this perspective. The second approach is the idealist perspective, in which foreign policy is used to promote democratic values, human rights, and international cooperation, prioritizing tools such as diplomacy, international law, and multilateral institutions (Lancaster, 2008). For countries at the receiving end of major powers' foreign policy particularly for and developing countries, the implications from the contrasting approaches will be widely different. While even a realist foreign policy may ostensibly incorporate concerns about the welfare and development of its allies, these are often not more than a thin disguise for the ultimate objective of buying political support and commercial advantages. A genuine interest in the welfare and development of receiving partners only finds a place under the idealist perspective, although even idealism is at times claimed to "greenwash" state actors' own interests (Delmas and Burbano, 2011). While this claim has some substance to it, such accusations can also stem from the anti-western rhetoric typically pursued by Russia and aimed at undermining the credibility of actors with good intentions.

In practice, most countries' foreign policies incorporate elements of both realism and idealism,



although the balance between the two may vary. Some countries may have a predominantly realist approach, while others may prioritize idealist goals. Additionally, the same country may shift its approach over time, depending on changing circumstances and priorities. Idealism may be more prominent during periods of stability and prosperity, when countries have the resources and political will to pursue more ambitious foreign policy goals. Realism tends to become more prominent in times of crisis, when countries face serious threats to their national security or economic well-being. Historical examples of the latter are the aftermath of World War II, the Cold War, and even the 2008 global financial crisis (Roberts, 2020).

Comparative Analysis of Foreign Influence

A few studies, recent enough to encompass Russia's renewed interest in Africa post-2015 but not enough to cover the current day resurgence, explicitly compare the strategy of different actors and their long-term influence. Trunkos (2021) develops a new soft power measure for the timeperiod 1995-2015, to test the commonly accepted claim in the political science literature that American soft power use has been declining while Russian and Chinese soft power use has been increasing. In the author's own words, "the findings indicate that surprisingly the US is still using more soft power than Russia and China. The data analysis also reveals that the US is leading in economic soft power actions over China and in military soft power actions over Russia as well."

Castaneda Dower et al. (2021) take a longer-term perspective and categorize African countries into two blocs one Western-leaning and one pro-Soviet, based on a game-theoretical model of alliances. This categorization aligns well with UN voting patterns during the Cold War, but it does not predict alignment as effectively in the post-Cold War period. The study finds no significant difference in average GDP growth between the two blocs for the period from 1990 to 2016.

However, the bloc with Western-like characteristics shows higher levels of inequality and greater reliance on market economy - as opposed to the planned one. It also has higher human capital, more gender parity (in education), and better democracy scores, but lower infrastructure capital compared to the other bloc.

Another strand of literature has looked into the deep changes that have occurred over time within the global development architecture, highlighting changes in donor and partner motivations after the end of the Cold War (Boschini and Olofsgård, 2007; Frot, Olofsgård and Perrotta Berlin, 2014), through the Arab Spring (Challand, 2014), and more recently under the emergence of new actors, chiefly China (Blair, Marty and Roessler, 2021). Studies in this area aim to highlight what ideologies implications the varying motivation for cooperation in the donor countries have for countries at the receiving end. Competing aid regimes generate soft power through public diplomacy, often in the form of branding (for instance through putting origin "flags" on aid projects or investments). This type of positive association has been shown to generate 'positive affect' toward donors (Andrabi and Das, 2010), and to strengthen recipients' perceptions of the models of governance and development that such donors promote – liberal democracy, for example, or free market capitalism (Blair, Marty and Roessler, 2021).

Emerging Players on the African Stage

An extensive literature has examined the various facets of established power actors' presence on the continent, spanning foreign aid, diplomatic relations, and military involvement, revealing impacts economic significant on local development through multiple channels. The United States, along with other former colonial powers and major Western donors, plays a particularly prominent role in this context. Against this background, recent research has increasingly focused on the rise of new actors, and in particular China's expanding role as a donor



and investor in Africa (Bluhm, 2018; Brautigam, 2008; Brazys, Elkink and Kelly, 2017; Dreher et al. 2018). While the consensus is still unclear on whether China's approach to aid attracts support among African citizens (Lekorwe et al. 2016; Blair, 2021), recent research also shows that Chinese aid exacerbates corruption and undermines collective bargaining in recipient countries (Isaksson and Kotsadam 2018a; 2018b).

As mentioned, there are as yet very few recent articles concerned with the reasons for Russia's renewed interest in Africa (see Marten, 2019; Akinlolu and Ogunnubi, 2021; Ramani, 2023), and even fewer analyzing the potential impacts from it. One working paper, not citable due to the authors' wishes, has quantitatively mapped and explicitly analyzed the impact of Russian military presence (in particular, of the Wagner Group) in Africa. The study found that the infamous paramilitary group faces fewer repercussions for human rights violations and commits more lethal actions than the state actors that employ them. In another recent study on the Central African Republic (CAR), Gang et al. (2023) found not only mortality levels in CAR to be four times higher than what estimated by the UN but also that Wagner mercenaries have contributed "increased difficulties of survival" for the population in affected areas. Pardyak, M. (2022) explores the communication strategies employed by the key actors in the war, specifically focusing on how these strategies are received in African societies. Based on the analysis of over 140 media articles published in several African countries up to 15 October 2022, complemented by street surveys in Cairo, and in-depth interviews with Egyptians and Sudanese migrants, the study concludes that Russia's multipolar perspective on the international order is more widely supported in Africa than Western strategies.

When viewed in a historical context, however, Russia's actions reflect a longstanding adherence to a realist approach in its foreign policy endeavors. Throughout its trajectory, Russia has consistently prioritized national security and economic interests, frequently leveraging military and economic means to safeguard these interests (Tsygankov and Tsygankov, 2010). Presently, amid mounting pressures from the Western democratic world following the full-scale invasion of Ukraine in February 2022, Russia finds itself increasingly reliant on a realist approach. While the Chinese engagement in Africa is also characterized by realist principles, it's important to emphasize that the Russian approach diverges from that of China. China is focused on a longterm presence, infrastructure building and investments. It has no interest in democracy and human rights, is efficient and cheap though not always loved (Isaksson and Kotsadam, 2018b). Russia's interest is more short term and opportunistic, seeking out countries rich in natural resources with unstable governments and weak institutions, such as Libya, Sudan, Mozambique, the Central African Republic, Mali, Burkina Faso Madagascar. Russia typically undemocratic elites or military juntas, offering political support, military equipment sales, and security cooperation (in particular through the Wagner Group) in exchange for access to natural resources, concession rights and influence. State of the art research on a previous period (Berman et al., 2017, spanning 1997 to 2010), although not exclusively focused on Russia, finds that rents from mineral contracts, captured by swings in global mineral prices for a causal interpretation, lead to a higher likelihood of local conflicts, and furthermore that the control of mining areas by rebel groups can escalate violence beyond the local level.

Russia is pursuing a range of strategic goals that include diplomatic legitimization, media influence, military presence, elite influence, arms export, and shaping voting patterns in international organizations (Lindén, 2023). Like China, Russia is uninterested in democracy or human rights. Moreover, what Russia stands for is in stark contrast to the Western model. Russia embodies autocracy and backward revisionist values (for instance in areas such as attitudes to



gender equality and the sustainability agenda) while the West generally promotes democracy and progressive inclusive solutions (Lindén, 2023). What also especially characterizes Russia is the particular attraction towards the presence of anti-West sentiment, which it fuels through anti-colonial populistic disinformation propaganda. This approach has been criticized for potentially weakening democratic norms and agency sidelining African (Akinlolu Ogunnubi, 2021). Additionally, Russia's disregard for the socio-political realities in Africa, typically associated with a self-interested realist approach, engagement lead to ineffective unintended negative consequences, undermining the long-term sustainability of both social and economic developments in the region.

Conclusion

Many African countries find themselves in a delicate balancing act, as they cannot afford to push away Russia nor displease their historical Western partners. This attempt to balance between actors poses several risks and potentially detrimental consequences, including reduced development cooperation, slower democratization, limited progress on human rights, and increased conflicts. Additionally, Russia's growing presence in Africa can have implications for the interests and policies of the European Union (EU) and its member states as well as global actors, including impacts on migration, terrorism, the energy sector as well as on trade and aid flows.

In light of the diverse strategies foreign powers use in their relations with African countries and the significant impact these strategies have, it is crucial to deepen our understanding of foreign engagements in Africa. By examining Russia's distinct approach alongside those of other global actors, we can gain valuable insights into the complex dynamics shaping the continent's political, economic, and social landscape, both now and in the future. Expanding research in this area is not only desirable but essential for informing policy and development strategies.

References

Akinlolu E. A. and Ogunnubi, O. (2021). Russo-African Relations and electoral democracy: Assessing the implications of Russia's renewed interest for Africa, *African Security Review*, 30:3, 386-402, DOI: 10.1080/10246029.2021.1956982

Andrabi, T., & Das, J. (2010). In aid we trust: Hearts and minds and the Pakistan earthquake of 2005. *World Bank Policy Research Working Paper*, (5440).

Berman, N., Couttenier, M., Rohner, D., & Thoenig, M. (2017). This mine is mine! How minerals fuel conflicts in Africa. *American Economic Review*, 107(6), 1564-1610.

Challand, B. (2014). Revisiting Aid in the Arab Middle East. *Mediterranean Politics*, 19(3), 281-298. DOI: 10.1080/13629395.2014.966983

Blair, R. A., Marty, R., and Roessler, P. (2021). Foreign Aid and Soft Power: Great Power Competition in Africa in the Early Twenty-first Century. *British Journal of Political Science*, 52(3), 1355–1376. doi:10.1017/S0007123421000193

Bluhm, R., et al. (2018). Connective Financing: Chinese Infrastructure Projects and the Diffusion of Economic Activity in Developing Countries. *AidData Working Paper 64*.

Boschini, A., and Olofsgård, A. (2007). Foreign aid: An instrument for fighting communism? *The Journal of Development Studies*, 43(4), 622-648. DOI: 10.1080/00220380701259707

Brautigam, D. (2009). *The Dragon's Gift: The Real Story of China in Africa*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.

Castaneda Dower, P., Gokmen, G., Le Breton, M., & Weber, S. (2021). Did the Cold War Produce Development Clusters in Africa? (*Working Papers; No. 2021:10*).

Delmas, M. A. and Burbano, V. C. (2011). The Drivers of Greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64-87. https://doi.org/10.1525/cmr.2011.54.1.64



Dreher, A., et al. (2018). Apples and dragon fruits: The determinants of aid and other forms of state financing from China to Africa. *International Studies Quarterly*, 62(1), 182–194.

Frot, E., Olofsgård, A., and Perrotta Berlin, M. (2014). Aid Effectiveness in Times of Political Change: Lessons from the Post-Communist Transition. *World Development*, *56*, 127-138. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.worlddev.2013.10.016

Isaksson, A-S., and Kotsadam, A. (2018a). Chinese aid and local corruption. *Journal of Public Economics*, 159, 146–159.

Isaksson, A-S., and Kotsadam, A. (2018b). Racing to the bottom? Chinese development projects and trade union involvement in Africa. *World Development*, 106, 284–298.

Jones, S. G., Doxsee, C., Katz, B., McQueen, E. and Moye, J. (2021). Russia's Corporate Soldiers. The Global Expansion of Russia's Private Military Companies. A Report of the CSIS Transnational Threats Project. CSIS. https://csis-website-prod.s3.amazonaws.com/s3fs-

public/publication/210721_Jones_Russia%27s_Corporate_S oldiers.pdf?VersionId=7fy3TGV3HqDtRKoe8vDq2J2GGVz7 N586

Gang, K. B. A., O'Keeffe, J., Anonymous et al. (2023). Cross-sectional survey in Central African Republic finds mortality 4-times higher than UN statistics: how can we not know the Central African Republic is in such an acute humanitarian crisis?. *Conflict* and Health, 17(21). https://doi.org/10.1186/s13031-023-00514-z

Lancaster, C. (2008). Foreign aid: Diplomacy, development, domestic politics. University of Chicago Press.

Lekorwe, M., et al. (2016). China's growing presence in Africa wins largely positive popular reviews. *Afrobarometer Dispatch*, 122.

Lindén, K. (2023). Russia's relations with Africa: Small, military-oriented and with destabilising effects. FOI Memo 8090.

 $https://www.foi.se/rapportsammanfattning?reportNo=FOI\ \%20Memo\%208090$

Marten, K. (2019). Russia's use of semi-state security forces: the case of the Wagner Group, *Post-Soviet Affairs*, 35:3, 181-204, DOI: 10.1080/1060586X.2019.1591142

Mearsheimer, J. (1995). A Realist Reply. *International Security*, 20(1), 82-93. https://doi.org/10.2307/2539218

Morris, M. D. (1973). The Soviet Africa Institute and the development of African studies. *The Journal of Modern African Studies*, 11(2), 247-265.

Olofsgård, A., Perrotta Berlin, M. and Bonnier, E. (2023). Foreign Aid and Female Empowerment. SITE Working Paper Series, No. 62.

Pardyak, M. (2022). Fighting for Africans' Hearts and Minds in the Context of the 2022 War in Ukraine. *Journal of Central and Eastern European African Studies*, 2(4), 158-194.

Ramani, Samuel, Russia in Africa: Resurgent Great Power or Bellicose Pretender? (2023; online edn, Oxford Academic, 28 Sept.

2023), https://doi.org/10.1093/oso/9780197744598.001.0001

Roberts, A. (2020). "Whatever It Takes": Danger, Necessity, and Realism in American Public Policy. *Administration & Society*, 52(7), pp. 1131-1144. https://doi.org/10.1177/0095399720938550

Siegel, J. (2021). Herd, P. G. (ed). Russia's Global Reach: A Security and Statecraft Assessment. Garmisch-Partenkirchen: George C. Marshall European Center for Security Studies. https://www.marshallcenter.org/en/publications/marshall-center-books/russias-global-reach-security-and-statecraft-assessment

Stanyard, J., Vircoulon, T. and Rademeyer, J. (2023). The grey zone: Russia's military, mercenary and criminal engagement in Africa. The Global Intitaive against transnational organized crime. https://globalinitiative.net/wpcontent/uploads/2023/02/Julia-Stanyard-T-Vircoulon-J-Rademeyer-The-grey-zone-Russias-military-mercenary-and-criminal-engagement-in-Africa-GI-TOC-February-2023-v3-1.pdf

Trunkos, J. (2021) Comparing Russian, Chinese and American Soft Power Use: A New Approach, *Global Society*, 35:3, 395-418, DOI: 10.1080/13600826.2020.1848809

Tsygankov, A. P., & Tsygankov, P. A. (2010). Russian theory of international relations. In *Oxford Research Encyclopedia of International Studies*.





Maria Perrotta Berlin

Stockholm Institute for Transition Economics maria.perrotta@hhs.se https://www.hhs.se/en/research/institutes/site/people/perrotta-berlin-maria/

Maria Perrotta Berlin is Assistant Professor and Policy and Communication Manager at the Stockholm Institute of Transition Economics (SITE). She earned her Ph.D. from the Institute for International Economic Studies (IIES) at Stockholm University. Maria's main research interests are development and political economics, with a focus on gender issues and environmental policy.

freepolicybriefs.com

The Forum for Research on Eastern Europe and Emerging Economies is a network of academic experts on economic issues in Eastern Europe and the former Soviet Union at BEROC (Minsk), BICEPS (Riga), CEFIR (Moscow), CenEA (Szczecin), ISET-PI (Tbilisi), KSE (Kyiv) and SITE (Stockholm). The weekly FREE Network Policy Brief Series provides research-based analyses of economic policy issues relevant to Eastern Europe and emerging markets. Opinions expressed in policy briefs and other publications are those of the authors; they do not necessarily reflect those of the FREE Network and its research institutes.

